

MICHAEL B. BYNOE,	)	Appeal No. 12-15700
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	3:12-cv-00037-ECR-VPC
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
STATE OF NEVADA, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	/	

On April 2, 2012, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals referred this matter to this Court for the limited purpose of determining whether *in forma pauperis* status should continue for the appeal or whether the appeal is frivolous or taken in bad faith. (ECF No. 12). Plaintiff's complaint was dismissed because it contained wholly frivolous claims and allegations that failed to state colorable

1 claims for relief. On careful review, this Court certifies that any *in forma pauperis* appeal from its  
2 order would not be taken “in good faith” pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

3 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that this Court **CERTIFIES** that any *in forma pauperis*  
4 appeal from its orders dated March 12, 2012 (ECF No. 5) and March 23, 2012 (ECF No. 8) would  
5 **not** be taken “in good faith” pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court **SHALL SEND** this order to the  
7 United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

8 Dated this 4th day of April, 2012.

9  
10 

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26